

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,458	10/31/2006	Stefan Witte	4127-28	3818
	7590 09/19/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			SOTELO, JESUS D	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,458	WITTE, STEFAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jesús D. Sotelo	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO  ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and descriptions.	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	nor.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 November 2005</u> is.		Objected to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st or the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)	•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) I I Notice of	Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/556,458 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-10 are in the application.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-10 objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 2-9 the reference to "Patent Claim" should be changed to --claim--.. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 5, the recitation "ultrasonic type" is deemed to be indefinite for it is not clear what is encompassed by this recitation.

The same applies to the recitation "capacitive micromechanical type".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruse (5,877,415) in view of Mocha (5,477,424).

Application/Control Number: 10/556,458

Kruse discloses a system to determine the flow conditions around a sail including a number of sensors mounted on both sides of the sail and including means to transmit the sensed information to a central unit. Although Kruse shows a single sensor unit on the sail, the use of a plurality of such sensors generally as taught by Mocha would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to get a better sense of the wind conditions over the whole sail. The type of sensor used would have been an obvious matter of choice to one having ordinary skill in the art within the limitations of the required information. Kruse teaches the use of a wireless system to transmit the information from the sensors to the central unit. Mocha teaches the use of a wired system for connecting the sensors to a power unit. To provide the sensors of Kruse with a wired connection system to the central unit would have been an obvious matter of design choice in view of the teachings of Mocha.

Claims 2-7 recite statements of desired functional result; no patentable structure is being recited in these claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kelm (3,789,793) discloses the use of a plurality of wind sensors over the surface of a sail. Gaynor et al (7,143,363) disclose the use of a display to receive information from different sensors.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. 6:00 AM 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,458 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art unit 3617

KNX 03D85 ☺

jds September 12, 2007